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Arthroscopy is an accepted tool in the treatment of many types of shoulder pathology. The arthroscopic subdeltoid approach consists of the posterior–portal-based arthroscope being advanced into the subdeltoid space via the subacromial space, then exposure of the space with shaving or radiofrequency ablation. The other portals are then made with a spinal needle localization piercing the anterior deltoid. This subdeltoid approach is well-suited to problems such as pectoralis major and subscapularis muscle tear, as well as massive rotator cuff tear repairs, problems that were previously difficult to address from the standard arthroscopic portals. This approach also allows transfer of the biceps tendon to the conjoint tendon. When patients continue to be symptomatic despite conservative interventions, surgical options need to be explored. Currently, there is no gold standard for the surgical treatment of biceps tendonopathy. Some authors favor tenodesis whereas others advocate tenotomy or transfer. Many of the treatments, including tenodesis and tenotomy, have had excellent rates of success, but complications, such as deformity, persist. We describe a new arthroscopic technique for biceps tenodesis via transfer of the long head of the biceps tendon to the conjoint tendon using the subdeltoid space. With this technique, the tendons are under direct visualization for proper tensioning, and the transferred tendon is approximately in the same orientation as in its native location. In the appropriately indicated patient, this technique may yield relief from biceps specific symptoms.
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Arthroscopy has advanced many areas of shoulder surgery, making less-invasive surgeries both more common and equally efficacious. The surgical management of biceps tendon lesions is one area of shoulder arthroscopy that is still controversial. Moreover, the role of the biceps tendon as a shoulder stabilizer is still under debate. It is widely acknowledged that irritation of the biceps tendon can cause significant shoulder pain, possibly owing to the network of sensory sympathetic fibers that surrounds it.

When biceps tendonitis or subluxation continues despite nonoperative management, several procedures have been used to relieve these symptoms. The 3 main surgical options for treatment of biceps tendinopathy are tenodesis, tenotomy, and tendon transfer. In the first case, fixation techniques such as bone tunnels, anchors, staples, and interference screws all have been used to fix the tendon to the proximal humerus. These have been performed both with open and arthroscopic techniques, but the use of tenodesis has been limited by complaints of pain in as many as 40% of patients postoperatively at the site of fixation.

Tenotomy was first attempted after reports of spontaneous resolution of pain in symptomatic patients with incidental rupture of the long head of the biceps tendon. The use of tenotomy has reliably improved pain symptoms but again is complicated by complaints regarding cosmesis as well as muscle spasm. Younger patients in particular seem prone to a Popeye’s sign and discomfort with overuse of the arm. Patients older than 60 years of age have not been shown to have a significant incidence of Popeye’s sign, fatigue discomfort symptoms, or difference in strength compared with those who do not undergo with this procedure.

Biceps tendon transfer was first described in 1982 by Post; the long head was transferred from its supraglenoid tubercle origin to the conjoint tendon arising from the coracoid process in 4 cases with good results. This method was described as an arthroscopic procedure by the senior author (S.J.O.) in 2005. We have found an arthroscopic approach...
to this tendon transfer to be a successful procedure that reliably produces good results in our patients. The arthroscopic approach is via the subdeltoid space, allowing excellent access to the anterior, extra-articular aspect of the shoulder.

**Indications**

Patients who present with biceps tendon pathology are first treated nonoperatively with a multidisciplinary approach. Activity modification, physical therapy (including periscapular muscle strengthening), injections of local anesthetics as well as steroids (with or without ultrasound guidance), and oral anti-inflammatory medications are all options that should be considered before surgical intervention. It appears that many of these patients may be more difficult to treat than those with other types of impingement, such as standard subacromial impingement. Biceps instability may be an etiology contributing to symptoms and may be associated with subscapularis tears. Intra-articular instability can be the result of overall shoulder laxity, a SLAP tear, or an unstable biceps anchor, whereas extra-articular subluxation can be the result of a tear or laxity of the transverse humeral ligament or subscapularis tendon. Pathology in the bicipital groove itself also can lead to pain.

Physical examination of the shoulder may have a variety of positive findings, including pain with palpation of the bicipital groove or a positive active compression test. Speed and Yergeson Tests also may be positive in these patients. The senior author also performs a “throwing test” in which the patient performs a pitcher’s throwing motion as resistance is applied to that shoulder. Extra-articular biceps subluxation will cause pain in the anterior shoulder resulting in a positive test.

Surgery is indicated for those patients refractory to nonoperative management. If patients younger than 60 years of age have a viable biceps tendon with no other pathology or pathology limited to the biceps and rotator cuff, the senior author (S.J.O.) routinely performs a tendon transfer of the long head of the biceps with an arthroscopic subdeltoid approach.

**Anatomy of the Subdeltoid Space**

The boundaries of the subdeltoid space include the greater tuberosity superiorly, the long head of the biceps tendon laterally, the pectoralis major tendon inferiorly, and the conjoint tendon medially (Fig. 1). The anterior deltoid forms the...
roof and the anterior shoulder capsule forms the floor of this space. While working in this space, there are 2 neurovascular structures that are at particular risk. The musculocutaneous nerve courses inferiorly and laterally to innervate the short head of the biceps and coracobrachialis. In a cadaveric study, Bach and colleagues found that the nerve enters the joint tendon on an average of 49 mm below the tip of the coracoid process. However, in 5% of cases the entry site was within 25 mm of the coracoid process. Although we have only visualized this structure in approximately 5% of our cases, it is important to be cognizant of the proximity of the nerve to avoid complications. Second, the ascending branch of the anterior humeral circumflex artery also often is visualized running from distal to proximal within the lateral aspect of the bicipital groove. If violated, this branch will bleed significantly and should be adequately cauterized to avoid unnecessary hematoma (Fig. 2).

**Technique**

In the operating room, the patient is placed in a modified beach chair position. The patient is then examined under anesthesia to assess for instability and range of motion. A diagnostic arthroscopy through a standard anterior and posterior portal is performed. The anterior portal may then be used as an accessory portal for inflow later in the case. The long head of the biceps tendon (LHBT), as well as its anchor to the superior labrum, are inspected along the entire intra-articular portion. Fraying at the “creased” portion of the tendon may be a sign of instability in this area. In addition, the arthroscopic active compression test is performed. In this test arm is forward flexed and adducted. In cases in which intra-articular instability is present, the LHBT will sublux posteriorly within the joint when this maneuver is performed. If this correlates with the patient’s clinical symptoms, an arthroscopic transfer procedure is indicated.

After confirmation of its integrity, the LHBT is released, and the remaining tissue is debrided back using a mechanical shaver. Other pathology is then addressed, including labral lesions, subacromial impingement, and rotator cuff tears as indicated by physical examination and arthroscopic findings. To enter the subdeltoid space arthroscopically, the humeral head must be posterior in the joint to widen the subdeltoid space; to achieve this, the arm is positioned in 70° to 90° of forward flexion, 90° of elbow flexion, and 15° to 30° of sagittal abduction using a McConnell arm holder (Fig. 3). In this position, the standard posterior portal can be used for the arthroscope, and the working portal is an anterolateral portal placed at the anterior third of the acromion (Fig. 4).

The surgeon develops the subdeltoid space in a counterclockwise direction (when viewing a left shoulder). The fas-
cia and subdeltoid bursa are released with an arthroscopic shaver and radiofrequency device as follows. The medial anatomic landmarks are first identified by "tunneling" through to the conjoint tendon and coracoid process medially and inferiorly. A "coracoid" portal is then made 2 cm distal to the tip of the coracoid process and in line with the conjoint tendon. Localization of all portals is accomplished with a spinal needle and cannulas are inserted under direct visualization with the arthroscope.

The surgeon then sweeps laterally with the shaver or electrofrequency device through the subdeltoid plane to identify the pectoralis major tendon inferiorly, inserting lateral to the long head of the biceps tendon and bicipital groove. The pectoralis major tendon is the inferior border of the dissection. A "pectoralis" portal is then created (as discussed previously), entering from the anterolateral deltoid at the level of the superior margin of the pectoralis major tendon. This portal is superiorly directed, allowing release of the bicipital hood as necessary. The "pectoralis" portal then becomes the working portal and the arthroscope is switched to the anterolateral portal. The arthroscope remains in the anterolateral portal and is used to visualize the bicipital sleeve while the electrofrequency probe is placed in the "pectoralis" portal at this time (Fig. 5). Usually, only a small aperture at the level of the overlying pectoralis major tendon is required to deliver the LHBT. The LHBT is delivered through this opening into the subdeltoid space (Fig. 6). The tendon is then brought out through the skin incision of the "pectoralis" portal and tagged with 2 Ethibond Thompson traction stitches (Ethicon, Inc, Somerville, NJ). A small skin incision is then made just anterior to the superior aspect of the coracoid. This incision is used to hold the traction sutures for transfer after the tendon is reinserted into the subdeltoid space.

Inflow is placed in the coracoid and anterior accessory portals to allow insufflation of the working area. The remaining bursal attachments are then divided to provide a large working space for the surgeon. If more inflow is necessary, an accessory mid-anterolateral portal can be made halfway between the superior, anterolateral portal and the "pectoralis" portal. This portal is just lateral to the bicipital groove.

At this time, the elbow is flexed to 90° and the long head of the biceps tendon is tensioned in line with the conjoint tendon by pulling on the traction sutures until the tendon is moderately bowstrung (Fig. 7). Although this reduction is held in place by an assistant, a looped suture retriever is passed through one of the lateral portals to reduce the long head of the biceps tendon to the conjoint tendon. Another suture-passing device or spectrum is then used to pass a loop-ended No. 0 PDS suture through the biceps and conjoint tendons (Fig. 8). The loop is passed through one of the lateral portals and No. 2 Tevdek suture (Denkatel DSP, Fall River, MA) is carried back through the "conjoint" portal can-
nula. The opposite end of the No. 2 Tevdek is then retrieved out through the conjoint portal cannula and the long head of the biceps tendon is sutured into place with arthroscopic knot-tying techniques.

It is critical to suture the long head of the biceps tendon to the anterior surface of the lateral aspect of the conjoint tendon to avoid coracoid impingement or injury to the musculocutaneous nerve. Approximately 3 to 4 sutures are placed in this manner to secure the transferred tendon. After the tendon is secure, the excess portion of the tendon is removed along with the tagging sutures through the superior incision. The arthroscope is left in place while the elbow is put through a full range of motion to confirm the repair. This isometric repair will appear to have little or no tension with elbow motion (Figs. 9 and 10).

**Postoperative Management**

Patients are placed in a sling full time for the first 3 days after surgery. The patients are then instructed to wear the sling at night and when they are going to be in crowded situations for the next 2 weeks. During this time, they are encouraged to perform active and active-assisted shoulder and elbow range
of motion out of the sling. It is critical that the patient not to lift any objects heavier than a piece of silverware or a pen. After the first 2 postoperative weeks, formal physical therapy commences, aiming toward complete ability to perform activities of daily living at four weeks, full throwing and swimming if tolerated by three months, and unrestricted activities by 4 to 5 months.

**Discussion**

The senior author (S.J.O.) has performed more than 170 of these tendon transfer procedures. An operative time less than 1 hour can be obtained after a learning curve of approximately 30 procedures. Recently, Cohen and colleagues\(^37\) reported good-to-excellent results in 80% to 92% (with or without other pathologies) of the patients with at least 2 years of follow-up.\(^36\) These patients were also found to have statistically equal muscle strength when the operated and contralateral sides were compared, and 95% had no pain in the bicipital groove postoperatively. Of these patients, 12.5% had fatigue discomfort symptoms, and 5% had a Popeye’s sign. In 2 cases, failure of the transfer was the result of noncompliance with postoperative protocols within the first 6 weeks of surgery.\(^37\)

The potential complications with this technique include injury to the LHBT, pectoralis major tendon, coracoid impingement, and musculocutaneous nerve injury. The pectoralis major tendon is in danger during the release of the LHBT from the bicipital groove via the incision in the tendon sheath. This sheath extends to the pectoralis major and care should be taken to properly visualize the pectoralis major tendon to avoid damage. Coracoid impingement can become a problem if the tendon is secured laterally or posteriorly along the conjoint tendon, so it is important for the surgeon to properly place the tendon on the anterior aspect of the lateral half of the conjoint tendon. Finally, the musculocutaneous nerve enters the coracobrachialis muscle approximately 49 mm distal to the coracoid process (or within 25 mm in 5% of patients).\(^35\) This anatomic landmark should be constantly kept in mind during any dissection or tension in this area.

There is a theoretical risk of compartment syndrome of the arm because there is no true capsule to contain fluid, but rather a fascial plane. We have not seen any cases of compartment syndrome with this procedure. Furthermore, we have not found any significant increase in shoulder swelling in this procedure as compared with other arthroscopic shoulder procedures as long as the surgical time is kept under two hours.

In our experience, this arthroscopic tendon transfer provides improved results over traditional procedures for many reasons. The transfer of the biceps tendon to the conjoint tendon closely reproduces the native pull of the biceps muscle and appears to be isometric. In addition, the tendon to tendon transfer gives the opportunity for soft tissue to soft-tissue healing, compared with tendon to bone healing, with no pain at the healing site, as seen with tenodesis. This recreates the normal “bungee” effect of the biceps and superior labrum complex. In addition, this technique allows the surgeon to directly visualize the tendons to properly tension them. When performed properly, this method allows surgeons to directly address biceps pathology without the morbidity of alternative procedures such as tenotomy and tenodesis.
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